The need for standardized H/V spectral ratio approach:

Data collection, processing and interpretation

Kuvvet Atakan and the SESAME Team

Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen,

Allegt. 41, N-5007 Bergen, Norway, atakan@geo.uib.no

SESAME-Team: Acerra,C., Alguacil,G., Azzara,R., Bard, P-Y., Blarel,F., Bonnefoy-Claudet,S., Borges,A., Cara,F., Cornou,C., Cultrera,G., Chatelain,J-L., Dimitriu,P., Dunand,F., Duval, A-M., Fäh,D., Grandison,M., Guéguen,P., Guillier,B., Haghshenas, E., Havskov,J., Kind,F., Koller, M., Lacave, C., Marcellini, A., Moreno,B., Ohrnberger,M., Rao,S., Panou, A., Ripperger,J., Roquette,P., Sørensen,M.B., Tento,A., Teves-Costa,P., Theodulidis, N., Vidal,S., Vollmer,D., Zacharapoulos,S.





The present study is based on the results of the European Project **SESAME** (EC-EVG1-CT-2000-00026)





Background and our motivations

In metropolitan areas the method is popular due to its simplicity There is therefore a need for a standard approach



Challenges in the built environment and engineering applications







The nature of the ambient noise field

	Natural	Human	
Name	Microseism	Microtremor	
Frequency	0.1 – f _{nh} (0.5 Hz to 1 Hz)	f _{nh} (0.5 Hz to 1 Hz) – > 10 Hz	
Origin	Ocean	Traffic / Industry / Human activity	
Incident wavefield	Surface waves	Surface + body	
Amplitude variability	Related to oceanic storms	Day/ Night, Week / week-end	
Rayleigh / Love issue	Incident wavefield predominantly Rayleigh	Comparable amplitude – slight indication that Love waves carry a little more energy	
Fundamental / Higher mode issue	Mainly Fundamental	Possibility of higher modes at high frequencies (at least for 2-layer case)	
Further Comments	Local wavefield may be diffe- rent from incident wavefield	Some monochromatic waves related to machines and engines. The proximity of sources, as well as the short wavelength, probably limits the quantitative importance of waves generated by diffraction at depth	



The reliability of the H/V technique is evaluated systematically through the following aspects:

- Experimental conditions
- Data processing
- Empirical evaluation and comparison to SSR
- Interpretation of the results





Influence of the experimental conditions were studied with respect to two different aspect:

• Influence of the instruments: Instrument calibration and testing, and comparison of the instruments that are used in the project were completed, following a dedicated workshop in Bergen, Norway. (see Atakan et al., 2004; Guillier et al., 2007)



• Assessment of the effects of the experimental conditions is performed through a large number of tests. (see Atakan et al., 2004; Duval et al., 2004; Chatelain et al., 2007)



Examples of instrumentation

GBV-316's are used with different casing





Data acquisition is a Pocket-PC based SEISLOG system





Instrument tests in the lab



Comparison between the lab-records and the free-field measurements







Reference

Effect of weather conditions



Rain and strong wind

Snow







Pavement

Effect of ground coupling



Asphalt

Grass







Figure 1. Comparison of the H/V curves obtained with and without asphalt, at the same site, showing no significant effect of the asphalt layer.

Chatelain et al., 2007





Figure 2. Comparison of the H/V curves obtained at the same site on grass with and without wind (top), and in a hole, on asphalt (bottom) and again on grass with wind. This comparison shows the strong effect of the wind combined with grass, whereas on asphalt or in a hole, the wind has no significant effect (if far away from any structure).



Out of 58 different tests that were performed:

- 22 tests in general do not influence (OK) the H/V results
- 17 tests may influence (May Infl.) the results
- •13 tests show that the results influence the H/V ratios and are not recommended (N.R.)
- 6 tests have not enough data (N.E.D) to reach a conclusion



Following test results influence the H/V ratios and are not recommended (N.R.):

- Some ground-sensor coupling conditions (gravel, karstic limestone, etc.)
- Modified sensor coupling (i.e. artificial interface between the sensor and the ground)
- Weather conditions (rain and especially wind)
- Buried underground structures





SESAME Recommendations regarding the experimental conditions



Type of parameter	Main recommendations			
	Minimum expected fo [Hz]	Recommended minimum recording duration [min]		
Recording duration	0.2	30'		
Recording duration	0.5	20'		
	1	10'		
	2	5'		
	5	3'		
	10	2'		
	→ <u>Microzonation</u> : start w m grid) and, in case of late	ith a large spacing (for example a 500 eral variation of the results, densify the		
Measurement spacing	grid point spacing, down to 250 m, for example.			
	→ Single site response: never use a single measurement point			
	to derive an fo value, make at least three measurement points.			
	→ level the sensor as recommended by the manufacturer.			
Recording parameters	\rightarrow fix the gain level at the maximum possible without signal			
	saturation.			
	→ set the sensor down directly on the ground, whenever			
In situ soil-sensor coupling	possible.			
	→ avoid setting the sensor on "soft grounds" (mud, ploughed			
	soil, tall grass, etc.), or soil saturated after rain.			
	→ avoid plates from "soft" materials such as foam rubber,			
	cardboard, etc.			
Artificial soil-sensor coupling	→ on steep slopes that do not allow correct sensor levelling, install the sensor in a sand pile or in a container filled with sand			
	→ on snow or ice, install a metallic or wooden plate or a			
	container filled with sand melting.	to avoid sensor tilting due to local		



		W				
I N I	Nearby structures	→ Avoid recording near structures such as buildings, trees, etc. in case of wind blowing (faster than approx. 5 m/s). It may strongly influence H/V results by introducing some low frequencies in the curves				
		Avoid measuring above underground structures such as car parks pipes sewer lids etc.				
	Weather conditions	 → Wind: Protect the sensor from the wind (faster than approx. 5 m/s). This only helps if there are no nearby structures. → Rain: avoid measurements under heavy rain. Slight rain has no noticeable influence. → Lemperature: check sensor and recorder manufacturer's instructions. 				
		→ <u>Meteorological perturbations</u> : indicate on the field sheet whether the measurements are performed during a low-pressure meteorological event.				
	Disturbances	 → <u>Monochromatic sources</u>: avoid measurements near construction machines, industrial machines, pumps, generators, etc. → <u>Transients</u>: In case of transients (steps, cars,), increase the recording duration to allow for enough windows for the analysis, after transient removal. 				



www.uib.no



Table 1. Recommended recording duration.

f ₀ [Hz]	Minimum value for l _w [s]	m value Minimum number Minimum of significant number of signal durations windows		Minimum useful signal duration [s]	Recommended minimum record duration [min]
0.2	0.2 50 20		10	1000	30'
0.5	20	200	10	400	20'
1	10 200		10	200	10'
2	5	200	10	100	5'
5	5	200	10	40	3'
10	5	200	10	20	2'



H/V Spectral ratio technique:

Standard data processing software

J-SESAME



Data processing software J-SESAME





www.uib.no

🌺 JSesame Vei	rsion 1.0						
Project Config	g Processing <u>H</u> elp						
🖉 🗋 👔 Manı	ual Window Selection	Ctrl-M					
Auto	mati <u>c</u> Window Selecti	on Ctrl-A					
Ins Proc	essing Parameters		DJ\EU-Projects\SESA	Site Name:			
Gene	eral Parameters	t_file		Outrust File Menuer	140.02.4222.400.DADI6	1.002.000.004	
Inserts	site 🛛 🖓 🗖	New_Site1		Output File Name:	-10-02-1332-408.PARK	1_003.gse.out	
Remo	ve		NTIPROJEU-Projects	Number of Windows	:: 27		
Group by	- Sito		NT\PROJ\EU-Projects	La R., James James Alex	20.0		
or oup by		2	Automatic Win	dow Selection Pa	rameters		×
Plot Tra	ces 📢 🔛	000000					
5			Window len	gth for the short t	erm (sta) in sec:	1.0	
0			Window len	gth for the long te	rm (Ita) in sec:	25.0	
0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Minimum le Maximum le	verfor stanta thre evel for stanta thre	snoia: eshold:	2.0	
-1			Window len	gth for selected w	vindows in sec:	20.0	
0	water and a second a	₩₩ ^{₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩}	Overlap per	centage for selec	ted windows:	0.2	
			Open	Save	ОК	Cancel	



鱶 JSesame Vers	ion 1.05
Project Config	Processing Help
🖹 🗅 🖻 🖫	Automatic Windows Selection Without filter Ctrl-W
	Show Filtered Traces With filter ectio
Insert Data	H/V Spectral Ratio Ctrl-R Common windows (Filter/no-Filter)
Insert Sit	Le Calum and the
	Output File Name: c:/1231
Remov	Example a second
Plot Tra	Freq. spacing: linear Freq. min: 0.5 Freq. max: 25.0 # points: 250
	Offset removal: r_mean 💌
	Tapering: 🗾 🐱 💌
	Instrument correction: no 💌
	Smoothing: linear Bandwidth: 1.0 Type: tri
	Merging: geomet 🔻
	Output single components: no 💌
	OK Cancel









Figure 6. H/V ratio for the merged horizontal components (mean in black, mean multiplied and divided by $10^{\sigma(\log H/V)}$, in red and blue). The pink strip shows the frequency range where the data has no significance, due to the sampling rate and the window length. The grey strip represents the mean f_0 , plus and minus the standard deviation. It is calculated from the f_0 of each individual window.



www.uib.no











Figure 5. Signal (top) processed with (red curves bottom) or without (blue curves bottom) the automatic window selection (selected windows are indicated by the red segments on the top of the signal). The peak on the H/V curve is much clearer when the transient removal is applied, and also the standard deviation is lower, especially at low frequencies.



Interpretation of the H/V spectral ratio curves based on the User Guidelines of the H/V spectral ratio technique on ambient noise recordings



1.0.1	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	• I_w = window length • n_w = number of windows selected for the average H/V curve • $n_c = I_w \cdot n_w \cdot f_0$ = number of significant cycles • f = current frequency • f_sensor = sensor cut-off frequency • f_0 = H/V peak frequency • σ_f = standard deviation of H/V peak frequency ($f_0 \pm \sigma_f$) • ϵ (f_0) = threshold value for the stability condition $\sigma_f < \epsilon(f_0)$ • A_0 = H/V peak amplitude at frequency f_0 • A_{HV} (f) = H/V curve amplitude at frequency f • f = frequency between $f_0/4$ and f_0 for which $A_{HV}(f) < A_0/2$ • f^* = frequency between f_0 and $4f_0$ for which $A_{HV}(f^*) < A_0/2$ • σ_A (f) = "standard deviation" of $A_{H/V}$ (f), σ_A (f) is the factor by which the mean A_{HV} (f) curve should be multiplied or divided • σ_{logHV} (f) = standard deviation of the log A_{HV} (f) curve, σ_{logHV} (f) is an absolute value which should be added to or subtracted from the mean $logA_{HV}$ (f) curve • θ (f_0) = threshold value for the stability condition σ_A (f) < $\theta(f_0)$ • $V_{s,av}$ = average S-wave velocity of the total deposits • $V_{s,surf}$ = S-wave velocity of the surface layer • h = depth to bedrock

www.uib.no

Threshold Values for σ_f and $\sigma_A(f_0)$						
Frequency range [Hz]	< 0.2	0.2 – 0.5	0.5 – 1.0	1.0 – 2.0	> 2.0	
ε (f ₀) [Hz]	0.25 f ₀	0.20 f ₀	0.15 f ₀	0.10 f _o	0.05 f _o	
θ (f ₀) for σ _A (f ₀)	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.78	1.58	
log θ (f_0) for $\sigma_{\text{logH/V}}(f_0)$	0.48	0.40	0.30	0.25	0.20	

L



Sharp peaks and industrial origin

see \$3.3.2.d and appendix A









The University of Bergen

www.uib.no







Figure 9. Example of a clear H/V curve, that fulfils all the criteria for "reliability" and "clarity" given in sections 3.2 and 3.3.1.



www.uib.no

Basin geometry: Elongated alluvial valley, width~5km, length~40km, Depth~200m

Site Information

LTST site depth to bedrock: 196m Type of bedrock: Gneiss Average shear wave velocity of deposits: 570m/s

Comments

Criteria for a reliable H/V curve are fulfilled, that is:

 $\begin{array}{l} f_0 > 10 \ / \ I_w \\ n_c \ (f_0) > 200 \\ \sigma_A(f) < log_{10}(2) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Criteria for an ideal H/V peak are also fulfilled:} \\ A_0 \, (=\!6) > 2 \\ \exists \, f \, \in \, [f_0/4, \, f_0] \mid A_{H/V}(f^{\,}) < A_0/2 \\ \exists \, f^+ \, \in \, [f_0, \, 4f_0] \mid A_{H/V}(f^+) < A_0/2 \\ \sigma_f \, (=\!14\%) < \epsilon \, (f_0) \, (=\!15\%) \\ \sigma_A(f_0) \, (=\!1.6) < \theta \, (f_0) \, (=\!2) \end{array}$

Volvi1997 - LTST

Interpretation : All criteria are fulfilled, the fundamental frequency of the site may be reliably estimated at 0.7 Hz.



Unclear low frequency peak see \$3.3.2.b and appendix A If rock site no reliable f₀ If steady increase of H/V ratio with decreasing frequency Check H/V curves from individual windows and eliminate windows giving spurious H/V curves Use longer time windows and/or more stringent window selection criteria Use proportional bandwidth and less smoothing ٠ If reprocessed H/V curve fulfils the clarity criteria f₀ reliable Perform additional measurements over a If reprocessed H/V curve does not fulfil the clarity longer time and/or during night and/or quiet criteria weather conditions and/or use earthquake recordings using also a nearby rock site



www.uib.no

2. Unclear Low Frequency Peak



Basin geometry: Elliptical alluvium valley, width~10km, length~25km, depth~0.1km

Site Information

CD16 site is situated on soft alluvium sediments and silty clay. Type of bedrock: Sandstone (Middle Miocene).

Comments

Criteria for a reliable H/V curve are fulfilled, that is: $f_0 > 10 / I_w$ $n_c (f_0) > 200$ $\sigma_A(f) < log_{10}(2)$

In addition:

Although A₀(=2.9) > 2, the peak cannot be qualified "clear" since the amplitude is not decreasing rapidly on each side. None $t_1 \in [t_0/4, t_0] \mid A_{H/V}(t_1) \le A_0/2$ None $f_2 \in [f_0, 4f_0] \mid A_{H/V}(f_2) \le A_0/2$

Interpretation : further tests should be performed as listed in section II-3.3.2-b



Broad peak

see \$3.3.2.c and appendix A





Multiple peaks (multiplicity of maxima)

see \$3.3.2.c and Appendix A

Check no industrial origin of one of the peaks Increase the smoothing bandwidth

If reprocessed H/V curve fulfils the clarity criteria

If reprocessed H/V curve does not fulfil the clarity criteria

eria **f**₀ reliable arity Redo measurements over a longer time and/or during night



www.uib.no

4. Broad Peak or Multiple Peaks



Basin geometry: Elongated alluvial valley, width~5km, length~40km, Depth~200m

Site Information

LTST site depth to bedrock: ~180m Type of bedrock: Gneiss Average shear wave velocity of deposits: 570m/s

Comments

Criteria for a reliable H/V curve are fulfilled, that is: $f_0 > 10 \ / \ I_w$

 $n_{c}(f_{0}) > 200$ $\sigma_{A}(f) < log_{10}(2)$

Interpretation : All three peaks fulfil the criterion for amplitude, $A_i > 2$. However, only the peaks F_2 and F_3 fulfil all "clarity" criteria (3.3.1). The availability of other information (geology, deposit thickness, geophysics) in that area allows us to identify f_2 as the fundamental frequency of the site. The location of this site close to a valley edge may explain the presence of these two peaks with rather low amplitude, while another nearby site (LTST, see above the "clear peak" example") exhibits a clear peak with larger amplitude: the latter is located in the central, part of the graben.



2 peaks cases $(f_1 > f_0)$

see \$3.3.2.d and appendix A





www.uib.no

3. Two Peaks Cases (f1>f0)

Basin geometry: Y-shaped sedimentary valley, Depth~800m

Site Information

PT50 site is situated on late quaternary post-glacial deposits. Type of bedrock: Jurassic marls and marly limestone.

Comments

Criteria for a reliable H/V curve are fulfilled, that is:

 $f_0 > 10 / I_w$ $n_c (f_0) > 200$ $\sigma_A(f) < log_{10}(2)$

Interpretation :

For the low frequency peak, $A_0(=4.0) > 2$ and $\exists f_2 \in [f_0, 4f_0] | A_{H/V}(f_2) < A_0/2$ Although, strictly speaking, one cannot find $f_1 \in [f_0/4, f_0] | A_{H/V}(f_1) < A_0/2$, the general trend of the curve, together with the known geology of the site, allow the meaning of the low frequency peak to be assigned with confidence; another processing with more narrow band smoothing would satisfy the criteria

For the second peak, all the criteria are fulfilled: $A_1(=3.5)>2$ $\exists f_1 \in [f_1/4, f_1] \mid A_{H/V}(f_1) \le A_1/2$ $\exists f_2 \in [f_1, 4f_1] \mid A_{H/V}(f_2) \le A_1/2$ This each second peak and the second peak are the second peak and the second peak are the second peak a

This second peak around 13 Hz is certainly associated with a very shallow structure.





Flat H/V curve (meeting the reliability conditions)

see \$3.4 and appendix A







 $n_{c}(f_{0}) > 200$ $v_{A}(f) < log_{10}(2)$

Significant low frequency amplification (F<1.0 Hz) was found for the ABM sedimentary site using earthquake data, which does not appear in the H/V ratio. This site is one of the few examples of non-rock sites exhibiting a flat H/V curve though also exhibiting a significant low frequency amplification (less that 5% of the total number of sites studied, as can be seen on Figure 8, section 3.1)

Note: the peak around 1.3 Hz was shown to have an industrial origin.



10

Frequency (Hz)



Comments

Criteria for a reliable I I/V curve are fulfilled, that is:

 $f_0 > 10 / I_w$ $n_c (f_0) > 200$ $\sigma_A(f) < log_{10}(2)$

The H/V ratio is flat over the whole frequency range examined. As the available geological information unambiguously indicates that it is a hard rock site, this flat H/V curve may be interpreted as indicative of a good, non weathered reference site free of any amplification even at high frequencies.



10

Frequency (Hz)

Comparison between the H/V on microtremors and earthquake records





Description of the work:

- Collecting existing data sets (earthquake and noise)
- Perform experimental measurements and processing of ambient vibrations at selected sites



- Systematic comparisons with weak- and strong-motion data as well as damage disribution in urban areas
- Comparing experimentally and theoretically estimated transfer functions with H/V ratios





Bard et al., 2004





Figure 8. Comparison between H/V ratio of ambient vibrations and standard spectral ratio of earthquakes. Top: comparison of the frequencies f_0 , bottom: comparison of the amplitudes A_0 .

Bard et al., 2004





Site Description: Agricultural area Comments: A clear and unique (H/V) spectral ratio peak is shown. Both approaches (SSR, H/V) exhibit the same fundamental frequency (f_o=0.7Hz). The LTST site is very well documented with geotechnical/geophysical data and the 2D theoretical transfer function is in

gcod agreement with the experimental one.





Surface Geology: Stiff and shallow sediments (Dubos et al, 2003; Dubos, 2003) Basin Geometry: 3D Basin Surface Topography: Flat Site Description: Urban

Comments: A clear and unique (H/V) spectral ratio peak is shown. Both approaches (SSR, H/V) exhibit almost the same fundamental frequency (f_0 =5.0 Hz).





Site Description: Urban **Comments:** A clear and unique (H/V) spectral ratio peak is shown in high frequencies. Both approaches (SSR, H/V) exhibit the same fundamental frequency (f_o=7.0 Hz).



www.uib.no



Surface Geology: Stiff ABM site is characterised by stiff soil (coarse grain alluvium) overlying bedrock at an unknown depth. Basin Geometry: Unknown Surface Topography: Flat Site Description: Urban

Comments: No clear (H/V) spectral ratio peak appears in the low frequency band (f<1.0Hz), although it is clear in the SSR technique. To the contrary, a sharp peak with an amplitude of about 1.5 appears at about 1.3Hz. This (H/V) spectral ratio peak is due to manmade noise/machinery since it appears in the Fourier spectra of all three components.





Comments: No clear (H/V) spectral ratio peak appears in the frequency band [1.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz], although it is clear in the standard spectral ratio technique. The low frequency peak (0.25 Hz) in the H/V curve is not reliable since the associated standard deviation is large, and the amplitude does not decrease enough at lower frequencies.



www.geo.uib.no

Results of the SESAME Project can be obtained at:

http://sesame-fp5.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

The J-SESAME software and the H/V User Guidelines can be obtained at:

http://www.geo.uib.no/Seismologi/Software/J-SESAME/



www.geo.uib.no

Following are the references for the relevant papers on the reliability of the H/V technique that are based on the SESAME Project results:

- Atakan, K., Duval, A-M., Theodulidis, N., Bard, P-Y., and the SESAME Team. 2004. The H/V Spectral Ratio Technique: Experimental Conditions, Data Processing and Empirical Reliability Assessment. *Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering.* Paper No.2268, 1-6 August 2004, Vancouver, Canada.
- Atakan, K., Bard, P-Y., Kind, F., Moreno, B., Roquette, P., Tento A., and the SESAME Team. 2004. J-SESAME: A standardized software solution for the H/V Spectral Ratio Technique. *Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*. Paper No.2270, 1-6 August 2004, Vancouver, Canada.
- Duval, A-M., Chatelain, J-L., Guillier, B., and the SESAME Team (Ricardo Azzara, Kuvvet Atakan, Pierre-Yves Bard, Sylvette Bonnefoy-Claudet, Fabrizio Cara, Cécile Cornou, Giovanna Cultrera, Donat Faeh, François Dunand, Philippe Guéguen, Mathilde Bøttger Sorensen, Johannes Ripperger, Paula Teves-Costa). 2004. Influence of experimental conditions on the H/V determinations using ambient vibrations (noise). *Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering.* Paper No.306, 1-6 August 2004, Vancouver, Canada.
- Theodulidis, N., Cultrera, G., Tento, A., Faeh, D., Atakan, K., Bard, P-Y., Panou, A., and the SESAME Team. 2004. Empirical evaluation of the Horizontal to vertical spectral ratio technique: Results from the SESAME Project. *Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering.* Paper No.2323, 1-6 August 2004, Vancouver, Canada.
- Koller, M., Chatelain, J-L-., Guillier, B., Duval, A-M., Atakan, K., Lacave C., Bard, P-Y., and the SESAME Participants 2004. Empirical evaluation of the Horizontal to vertical spectral ratio technique: Results from the SESAME Project. *Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*. Paper No.3132, 1-6 August 2004, Vancouver, Canada.
- Chatelain, J-L., Guillier, B., Cara, F., Duval, A-M., Atakan, K., Bard, P-Y., and the WP02 SESAME Team. 2007. Evaluation of the influence of experimental conditions on H/V results from ambient noise recordings. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*. DOI 10.1007/s10518-007-9040-7. 42p.
- Guillier, B., Atakan, K., Chatelain, J-L., Havskov, J., Ohrnberger, M., Cara, F., Duval, A-M., Zacharapoulos, S., Teves-Costa, P., and the SESAME Team. 2007. Influence of instruments on H/V spectral ratios of ambient vibrations. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*. DOI 10.1007/s10518-007-9039-0. 29p.



The University of Bergen

www.geo.uib.no



Thank you for your attention!

www.geo.uib.no

